



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/767,613	01/22/2001	David A. Johnson	10002280-1	3506

7590            03/15/2005

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY  
Intellectual Property Administration  
P.O. Box 272400  
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400

EXAMINER

PARK, CHAN S

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2622

DATE MAILED: 03/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/767,613 Examiner CHAN S PARK	JOHNSON, DAVID A. Art Unit 2622

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 October 2004.  
 2a) This action is FINAL.                    2b) This action is non-final.  
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.  
 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.  
 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.  
 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.  
 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.  
 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  
 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
     Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
     Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
 a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:  
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)                     |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                    | Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____  |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____ | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
|   | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                                    |

## DETAILED ACTION

### ***Response to Amendment***

1. Applicant's amendment was received on 10/13/04, and has been entered and made of record. Currently, **claims 1-20** are pending.

### ***Specification***

2. The new/corrected Specification was received on 10/13/04. This Specification is acceptable.

### ***Response to Arguments***

3. Applicant's arguments, see page 12, filed 10/13/04, with respect to claim objection have been fully considered and are persuasive. The objection of claim 9 has been withdrawn.

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to **claims 1-8 and 19** have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's arguments with respect to **claims 9-18 and 20** have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

5. In response to applicant's argument regarding the rejection of **claim 17**, wherein on pages 12-14, the applicant explains how the current invention differs from the teachings Furuya. Particularly, the applicant states that the current invention has, for

Art Unit: 2622

example, a printing device for making a correlation between the on-media calibration measured values and off-media calibration measured values. The applicant further states that such a device/method for making the correlation is not taught in the Furuya reference. The Examiner respectfully disagrees. As set forth in the previous Office action dated 7/15/04, the examiner specifically stated "[S]ince the calibration history includes both actual and estimated calibrations, the Office interprets the last calibration as the actual, on media calibration and the calibration before the last one as the off-media calibration. Read col. 13, lines 54-59." Now, referring to col. 13, lines 56-57, the previous calibration (calibration 1 (actual) and 2 (estimated) or vice versa) information is used as the reference information for computing a new calibration (calibration 4) (col. 11, lines 26-47). In other words, in order to make the new or subsequent off-media calibration, Furuya makes a correlation between the previous calibration information and computes the new calibration (lines 33-36). Hence, the examiner still believes that Furuya clearly teaches the device/method for making a correlation between the on-media calibration measured values and off-media calibration measured values. Note that the estimated calibration does not require the test pattern to be printed during the time of the estimated calibration. Thus, the examiner construes the term "off-media calibration" to be the estimated calibration of Furuya.

The applicant also states that the correlation  $\Delta E_a$  is not a correlation between the two measured values since  $\Delta E_a$  includes the factors such as environmental conditions. It should be noted, however, that the environmental conditions are measured and are used in the calibrations. Thus, the printing device of Furuya uses the on-media and the

off-media calibration measured values, which includes the environmental conditions, to calibrate the printing device.

6. Therefore, the rejection of **claims 17 and 18**, as cited in the Office action dated 7/15/04, under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Furuya, is maintained and repeated in this Office action.

7. The rejection of **claim 20**, as cited in the Office action dated 7/15/04, under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Furuya in view of Knox, is also maintained and repeated in this Office action.

8. In response to applicant's argument regarding the rejection of claim 9, wherein on pages 17-18, the applicant explains how the current invention differs from the teachings Furuya. However, arguments analogous to those presented for claim 17 above, are applicable.

9. Therefore, the rejection of **claims 9-16**, as cited in the Office action dated 7/15/04, under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Furuya, is maintained and repeated in this Office action.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the

applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by

Furuya U.S. Patent No. 6,297,873.

10. With respect to claim 17, Furuya discloses a printing device, comprising:
  - a colorant placing engine for in the course of normal printing placing colorant on print media, the colorant placing engine also for placing colorant on the print media during on-media calibration (actual calibration and col. 9, lines 24-25); and,
    - a sensing device, wherein during on-media calibration, the sensing device performs a measurement to obtain on-media calibration measured values (col. 9, lines 48-50);
      - wherein the printing device uses the on-media calibration measured values to calibrate the printing device (col. 9, lines 50-51);
        - wherein the printing device makes a correlation ( $\Delta E_a$  in col. 14, lines 45-47) between the on-media calibration measured values and off-media calibration measured values calculated during an initial off-media calibration cycle (calibration history including both actual and estimated calibrations in col. 10, lines 8-24); and,
          - wherein, during subsequent off-media calibration cycles the printing device uses the off-media calibration measured values along with the correlation between the on-media calibration measured values and the off-media calibration measured values to calibrate the printing device ( $\beta'$  in col. 14, lines 52-56).

Since the calibration history includes both actual and estimated calibrations, the Office interprets the last calibration as the actual, on-media calibration and the calibration before the last one as the off-media calibration. Read col. 11, lines 26-47 and col. 13, lines 54-59.

11. With respect to claim 18, Furuya discloses a printing device as in claim 17, wherein the sensor comprises one of the following: a densitometer (col. 9, lines 48-50), a colorimeter, and a spectrophotometer. Since the density of the print of the calibration test pattern is measured, the Office interprets a device that measures the density of the print of the test pattern is the densitometer.

#### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 6, 9, 10, 12, 13 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Furuya in view of Applicant's Admitted Prior Art in the Background of the Specification pages 1-2 (hereinafter Admitted Prior Art).

12. With respect to claim 1, Furuya teaches a method for calibrating a printing device, comprising the following steps:

- a. performing an on-media calibration (actual calibration), including the following substeps:

- i. placing colorant on print media (col. 9, lines 24-25),
  - ii. performing a measurement to obtain on-media calibration measured values (col. 9, lines 48-50), and
  - iii. using the on-media calibration measured values to calibrate the printing device (col. 9, lines 50-51);
- b. performing an off-media calibration (estimated calibration in col. 9, lines 52-55) to obtain off-media calibration measured values, the off-media calibration being performed without placing colorant on print media (col. 11, lines 24-25);
  - c. making a correlation ( $\Delta E_a$  in col. 14, lines 45-47) between the on-media calibration measured values and the off-media calibration measured values (calibration history including both actual and estimated calibrations in col. 10, lines 8-24); and,
  - d. performing subsequent off-media calibration in which the off-media calibration measured values are used along with the correlation between the on-media calibration measured values and the off-media calibration measured values to calibrate the printing device ( $\beta'$  in col. 14, lines 52-56).

Since the calibration history includes both actual and estimated calibrations, the Office interprets the last calibration as the actual, on-media calibration and the calibration before the last one as the off-media calibration. Read col. 11, lines 26-47 and col. 13, lines 54-59.

Furuya does not disclose expressly that the off-media calibration is performed by placing colorant on other than print media.

As noted above in claim 6, Admitted Prior Art teaches an off-media calibration method wherein the off-media calibration step includes, a) placing colorant on a transportation belt of the printing device (page 1, lines 23-26) and b) performing a measurement of the colorant on the transportation belt to obtain the off-media calibration measured values (lines 26-28).

Furuya and Admitted Prior Art are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor that is the printer calibrating art.

Since both, Furuya and Admitted Prior Art, have the advantage of saving consumable media during the calibration, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the calibration history data including the actual calibration data of Furuya into the off-media calibration method of Admitted Prior Art.

The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to solve the inaccuracy problem of off-media calibration by implementing both actual and estimated calibration methods introduced by Furuya (col. 15, lines 39-57).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Furuya with Admitted Prior Art to obtain the invention as specified in claim 1.

13. With respect to claim 2, Furuya does not teach expressly that the colorant is toner.

Admitted Prior Art teaches a method of calibrating a printer that uses toner (page 1, lines 10-13).

Since it is well known to use toner in a laser printer and Admitted Prior art teaches that it is well known to use toner in a printer, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use toner of Admitted Prior Art in the printer of Furuya.

The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to calibrate the printer that uses toner.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Furuya and Admitted Prior Art to obtain the invention as specified in claim 2.

14. With respect to claim 4, Furuya teaches the method, wherein in substep ii the measurement is performed using one of the following: a densitometer (col. 9, lines 48-50), a colorimeter, and a spectrophotometer. Since the density of the print of the calibration test pattern is measured, the Office interprets a device that measures the density of the print of the test pattern is the densitometer.

15. With respect to claim 6, Furuya does not teach expressly that the off-media calibration step includes the following steps:

- e. placing colorant on a transportation belt of the printing device; and,
- f. performing a measurement of the colorant on the transportation belt to obtain the off-media calibration measured values.

Admitted Prior Art teaches an off-media calibration method wherein the off-media calibration step includes, a) placing colorant on a transportation belt of the printing device (page 1, lines 23-26) and b) performing a measurement of the colorant on the transportation belt to obtain the off-media calibration measured values (lines 26-28).

Since both, Furuya and Admitted Prior Art, have the advantage of saving consumable media during the calibration, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the calibration history data including the actual calibration data of Furuya into the off-media calibration method of Admitted Prior Art.

The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to solve the inaccuracy problem of off-media calibration by implementing both actual and estimated calibration methods introduced by Furuya (col. 15, lines 39-57).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Furuya with Admitted Prior Art to obtain the invention as specified in claim 6.

16. With respect to claim 9, Furuya discloses a self-calibrating printing device (printer 18), comprising:

a printer transportation belt for transporting print media (fig. 1);  
a marking engine for in the course of normal printing placing colorant on print media, the marking engine also for placing colorant on the print media during on-media calibration (col. 9, lines 24-25); and,

a sensing device, wherein during on-media calibration, the sensing device performs a measurement to obtain on-media calibration measured values, and wherein during off-media calibration (col. 9, lines 48-51), the sensing device performs a measurement to obtain off-media calibration measured values (estimated calibration in col. 9, lines 52-55 & col. 11, lines 24-25);

wherein the self-calibrating printing device uses the on-media calibration measured values to calibrate the printing device (actual calibration in col. 9, lines 50-51);

wherein the self-calibrating printing device makes a correlation ( $\Delta E_a$  in col. 14, lines 45-47) between the on-media calibration measured values and the off-media calibration measured values (calibration history including both actual and estimated calibrations in col. 10, lines 8-24); and

wherein, during subsequent off-media calibrations the self-calibrating printing device uses the off-media calibration measured values along with the correlation between the on-media calibration measured values and the off-media calibration measured values to calibrate the printing device ( $\beta'$  in col. 14, lines 52-56).

Since the calibration history includes both actual and estimated calibrations, the Office interprets the last calibration as the actual, on-media calibration and the calibration before the last one as the off-media calibration. Read col. 11, lines 26-47 and col. 13, lines 54-59.

Furuya does not disclose expressly that the marking engine places colorant on the printer transportation belt during off-media calibration.

As noted above in claim 6, Admitted Prior Art teaches an off-media calibration method wherein the off-media calibration step includes, a) placing colorant on a transportation belt of the printing device (page 1, lines 23-26) and b) performing a measurement of the colorant on the transportation belt to obtain the off-media calibration measured values (lines 26-28).

Furuya and Admitted Prior Art are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor that is the printer calibrating art.

Since both, Furuya and Admitted Prior Art, have the advantage of saving consumable media during the calibration, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the calibration history data including the actual calibration data of Furuya into the off-media calibration method of Admitted Prior Art.

The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to solve the inaccuracy problem of off-media calibration by implementing both actual and estimated calibration methods introduced by Furuya (col. 15, lines 39-57).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Furuya with Admitted Prior Art to obtain the invention as specified in claim 9.

17. With respect to claim 10, arguments analogous to those presented for claim 2, are applicable.

18. With respect to claim 12, arguments analogous to those presented for claim 4, are applicable.

19. With respect to claim 13, arguments analogous to those presented for claim 5, are applicable.

20. With respect to claim 16, Furuya discloses that the sensing device comprises a plurality of sensors (identification sensors 67, 68, and temperature sensor 82 in col. 12, lines 9-28).

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Furuya and the Admitted Prior Art as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Wang et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,435,654 (hereinafter Wang).

21. With respect to claim 3, the combination teaches the method as in claim 1, but it does not expressly teach that the colorant is ink.

Wang teaches a method for performing an on-media calibration a printing device, comprising the steps of:

placing colorant on print media (col. 5, lines 3-5),  
performing a measurement to obtain on-media calibration measured values, and  
using the on-media calibration measured values to calibrate the printing device  
(col. 5, lines 1-48), wherein the colorant is ink (col. 1, lines 8-13 & col. 5, lines 3-5).

Furuya, Admitted Prior Art and Wang are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor that is the printer calibration art.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to implement the on/off calibration method of Furuya into the calibration of ink-jet printer of Wang.

The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to save the print medium during the calibration of the ink-jet printer.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine the three references to obtain the invention as specified in claim 3.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Furuya and the Admitted Prior Art as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Ueda et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,804,025 (hereinafter Ueda).

22. With respect to claim 5, Furuya teaches the method, wherein substep iii is performed by varying print parameters of the printing device until the on-media calibration measured values are substantially equal to target measure values (col. 10, lines 25-40). It is apparent that by varying/adjusting the print parameters based on the correction value for calibration, the on-media calibration is correctly performed.

The combination, however, does not teach expressly that the target values were determined during manufacture of the printing device.

Ueda, the same field of endeavor of the printer calibration, teaches a method for using target measure values determined during manufacture of the printer for the calibration process (col. 15, lines 20-27 & lines 34-40).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use the manufacture target values stored in HDD to calibrate the printer.

The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to calibrate the printer to target calibration value that was originally set by the manufacture.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine the three references to obtain the invention as specified in claim 5.

Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Furuya and the Admitted Prior Art as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Knox et al. U.S. Patent No. 5,649,073 (hereinafter Knox).

23. With respect to claim 7, the combination teaches the method as in claim 1, but it does not teach expressly that the colorant is placed on the print media in half-toned patches.

Knox teaches an on-media calibration method wherein the colorant is placed on the print media in half-toned patches (col. 5, lines 33-52 & col. 7, line 3).

Furuya, Admitted Prior Art and Knox are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor that is the printer calibrating art.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to implement the half-toned patches of Knox into the on-media calibration method of Furuya.

The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been obvious to use the reflectance of patches to measure the toner amount and thus providing the calibration data based on the toner amount.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine the three references to obtain the invention as specified in claim 7.

24. With respect to claim 8, Furuya teaches the method and Knox further teaches that the placed colorant being arranged in half-toned patches (col. 5, lines 33-49).

Furuya and Knox do not teach expressly a method of placing colorant on a transportation belt of the printing device, wherein the placed colorant being arranged in

half-toned patches that correspond to the half-toned patches placed in on media calibration.

Admitted Prior Art teaches an off-media calibration method wherein the off-media calibration step includes, a) placing colorant on a transportation belt of the printing device (page 1, lines 23-26) and b) performing a measurement of the colorant on the transportation belt to obtain the off-media calibration measured values (lines 26-28).

Furuya, Knox and Admitted Prior Art are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor that is the printer calibrating art.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to implement the on/off calibration methods on half-toned patches of Furuya and Knox as applied in claim 7 into the method of placing colorant on a transportation belt of the printing device and measuring the calibration values taught by Admitted Prior Art.

The suggestion/motivation for such an implementation would have been to improve the inaccuracy problem caused by off-media calibration.

Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to arrange the colorant placed on the transportation belt in half-toned patches that correspond to the half-toned patches placed on the print media during on-media calibration.

The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to obtain a set of correction value from the same nature. If actual correction value for calibration is obtained from the method using half-toned patches and the estimated correction value

of calibration is obtained from different calibration method, it would have been impossible to make a correlation between the two.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine all three references to obtain the invention as specified in claim 8.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Furuya and Admitted prior art as applied to claim 9 above, and further in view of Wang.

25. With respect to claim 11, arguments analogous to those presented for claim 3, are applicable.

Claims 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Furuya and Admitted Prior Art as applied to claim 9 above, and further in view of Knox.

26. With respect to claim 14, the combination of Furuya and Admitted Prior Art discloses the self-calibrating printing device as in claim 9, but it does not disclose expressly that the marking engine places colorant on the print media in half-toned patches.

Knox discloses that a marking engine places colorant on the print media in half-toned patches (col. 5, lines 33-52 & col. 7, line 3).

Furuya and Knox are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor that is the printer calibrating art.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to implement the half-toned patches of Knox into the on-media calibration method of Furuya.

The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been obvious to use the reflectance of patches to measure the toner amount and thus providing the calibration data based on the toner amount.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Furuya and Knox to obtain the invention as specified in claim 14.

27. With respect to claim 15, the combination of Furuya and Admitted Prior Art discloses the self-calibrating printing device as in claim 9, but it does not disclose expressly that during off-media calibration, the colorant placed on the transportation belt is arranged in half-toned patches that correspond to the half-toned patches placed on the print media during on-media calibration.

Knox discloses that a marking engine places colorant on the print media in half-toned patches (col. 5, lines 33-52 & col. 7, line 3).

Furuya, Knox and Admitted Prior Art are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor that is the printer calibrating art.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to implement the on/off calibration methods on half-toned patches of Furuya and Knox into the method of placing colorant on a transportation belt of the printing device and measuring the calibration values taught by Admitted Prior Art.

The suggestion/motivation for such an implementation would have been to improve the inaccuracy problem caused by off-media calibration.

Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to arrange the colorant placed on the transportation belt in half-toned patches that correspond to the half-toned patches placed on the print media during on-media calibration.

The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to obtain a set of correction value from the same nature. If actual correction value for calibration is obtained from the method using half-toned patches and the estimated correction value of calibration is obtained from different calibration method, it would have been impossible to make a correlation between the two.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine all three references to obtain the invention as specified in claim 15.

Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Furuya and the Admitted Prior Art as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Ueda.

28. With respect to claim 19, arguments analogous to those presented for claim 5, are applicable.

Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Furuya and the Admitted Prior Art as applied to claim 17 above, and further in view of Knox.

29. With respect to claim 20, arguments analogous to those presented for claim 7, are applicable.

***Conclusion***

30. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

31. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CHAN S PARK whose telephone number is (703) 305-2448. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Coles can be reached on (703) 305-4712. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Chan S. Park  
Examiner  
Art Unit 2622

csp  
March 3, 2005



EDWARD COLES  
SUPERVISORY PATENT  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER